

19990805.ba v02_n628.bam.990805

>From ???@??? Fri Aug 06 01:40:20 1999
Message-Id: <199908052002.d75K2tQ08924@sco.theporch.com>
Date: Thu, 5 Aug 1999 15:02:38 CDT
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 2628

BOATANCHORS Digest 2628

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) AS-147/AP
by David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
- 2) What is it?
by Jack Antonio <dia@dia.reno.nv.us>
- 3) Re: Telefunken SW/BC Receiver and BC-348
by Hue Miller <kargokult@proaxis.com>
- 4) Gonset Twins
by Stanley A McIntosh <mcintos@basf-corp.com>
- 5) Re: [MilSurplus] TBW-5, TA-12D
by "WF2U" <mbendror@villagenet.com>
- 6) RE: wide bandwidth SSB vs. normal bandwidth
by "David Newkirk" <dpnewkirk@home.com>
- 7) Estate Gear
by "Ray A. Allen, Sr." <w2kbr@pcbank.net>
- 8) FS: 12BH7 Tubes
by Michael Crestohl <mc@sover.net>
- 9) dipole info
by "Paul Bernhard Sr." <w2tu@email.msn.com>
- 10) FS Magazines, Equipment, Power Supplies
by "Owens, Clarence" <clare.owens@otis.com>
- 11) Re: dipole info
by "Roberta J. Barmore" <rbarmore@indy.net>
- 12) Re: Flagstaff / Ft. Tuthill Report?
by dick a george <k6kwq@juno.com>
- 13) ADMINISTRIVIA: Using The Archives
by listown@jackatak.theporch.com (Mail List Owner)
- 14) re GREAT BC610
by BEN NOCK <G4BXD@compuserve.com>
- 15) WTB: HW-16 xcvr & HG-10B vfo
by Paul Thekan <Paul.Thekan@eimac.cpii.com>

Message-ID: <37A92799.A2B5A22C@ix.netcom.com>
Date: Thu, 05 Aug 1999 00:56:41 -0500
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: AS-147/AP
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Does anyone know what this AS-147/AP thing is?
Looks like an RF probe or something.
Has WW-II markings on it.

Here's a photo-

<http://www.arc5.com/military/AS147.jpg>

Thanks,
Dave AB5S

Message-ID: <37A930F7.479C@dia.reno.nv.us>
Date: Wed, 04 Aug 1999 23:36:39 -0700
From: Jack Antonio <dia@dia.reno.nv.us>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
CC: milsurplus@qth.net
Subject: What is it?
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Good evening all,

I need some help identifying a piece of military gear I picked up
this weekend.

It's a black wrinkle box, about 13w x12h x8d, uses 3 7193 tubes, about
7 or 8 6SH7s plus some 6H6s. Interesting thing is an 18(thats eighteen)
volt dynamotor, that has one end driving a gear reduction mechanism
which drives some(missing)cams that actuate some lever switches. It
has 3 wafer type plugs on the front, 1 male and 2 female. Pretty
sure it's Army as there is an orange rectangular inspection stamp.

It looks like someone robbed the RF components from the 7193s, so
I can't tell much about the frequency range. There are three
coax jacks on the unit labled ANT, SUP and G ANT. And an
assembly labled CD-695-A that connects the ANT and G ANT together
and has another coax jack.

Anyone hear of such an animal?

73 and TIA

Jack Antonio WA7DIA
dia@dia.reno.nv.us

Message-Id: <3.0.5.32.19990805001948.00802100@proaxis.com>
Date: Thu, 05 Aug 1999 00:19:48 -0700
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Hue Miller <kargokult@proaxis.com>
Subject: Re: Telefunken SW/BC Receiver and BC-348
Cc: boatanchors@theporch.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

At 12:58 AM 8/4/99 +0000, Steve wrote:

[and i had to comment - Hue Miller:]
> I strongly suspect your Telefunken was a "pull" from a largish
> console that was converted to another purpose as were many late '20s
> thru '40s domestic consoles....
> assured however that most of these things were merely glorified Euro
> equivilants of an AA5 with a naked mixer front end, various and
> sundry embellishment features and a (usually) pretty good single
> ended audio stage.

[actually, the great majority had transformer power supplies, also
excellent and interesting tone controls for the user to interact with,
and very often - for whatever it's worth - an eye tube for tuning.
Plus a couple larger than usual for table radios speakers.]

By BA standards, they are quite insensitive with
> poor rejection.

[you mean on the SW bands, which was never their good suit. For
LW and MW broadcast in an urban area, they did fine. also, recall
that some actually included a knob to rotate the ferrite bar antenna.
this, plus cities names at points on the w-i-d-e sliderule dial,
logging points that unlike Hallicrafter's "Argentina.....Vatican....
Aircraft" consumer bait markings, could actually be relied upon,
inspired many of the young at heart for a couple generations, during
the Radio Age, and during various inter-European familial feuds,
to travel and expand their world, this instrument of dx magic resting
unintrusively in the living room as a stylish piece of furniture.
(sorry for that Faulknerish sentence). and in my experience, altho there
is only 1 tuned circuit in front the mixer, with the ordinary antenna
of some stray feet of wire, images were not a problem on the 49m
European shortwave band, and also maybe not until past 10 MHz.]

>BTW, a BC-348 rebuilt and made operational with really great
>headphones (Telephonics TDH-39s at least or modern high quality
>stereo headphones w/match xformer) is one of the very finest
>working/sounding short wave BC receivers going! Lesser 'phones won't
>show the set to it's full capability on the BBC and other major BCers.
>Regards; Steve

--ah yes, indeed, but there is still that selectivity problem, kinda
like an SX-28 with only the BROAD option. you have to admit, on 49m
at night, when you're trying to dig some Huayn~os from an all-nite
Peruvian, and being banged about by oriental powerhouses and gospel
blasters, a narrower position of selectivity would be nice. the way to
really notice the selectivity limitations is to tune down to the
1.5 mc/s area, and see how the stations spread...
(the work goes on.....)

regards, and good sounding DXing,
Hue

From: Stanley A Mcintosh <mcintos@basf-corp.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-ID: <852567C4.0039C423.00@basf-corp-gw01.basf-corp.com>
Date: Thu, 5 Aug 1999 06:34:06 -0400
Subject: Gonset Twins
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

I have a chance at a pair of Gonset Twins for \$25. They're in really good, but
not pristine shape. Any feel for whether that's a good deal? I may get them
anyway, if only to mount them in my car for mobile.

Thanks,
sam

From: "WF2U" <mbendror@villagenet.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: [MilSurplus] TBW-5, TA-12D
Date: Thu, 5 Aug 1999 06:57:02 -0400
Message-ID: <000f01bedf31\$3f6c3440\$0291c0c0@meir-s_nt4.villagenet.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Groups,

On this subject: I agree that sometimes it's necessary to make a modification (and not butchery) on a piece of military equipment in order to be able to operate it. I was really talking about serious defacing, hacking up and other such "improvements". Most Conversion Manual articles were geared not towards mods that just enable one to operate the equipment but considerable circuit and mechanical changes...

I wish my GO-9 had its power supply intact when I got it... Externally it looks as if it was in completely original shape. Inside, unfortunately, all the original transformers are gone and a couple of filament transformers were installed, control and low voltage supplies were built in their place and a connector added on the rear panel for the high voltage from an external source. I'm still trying to sort out what I have to build in order to put it on the air. I'd have liked just to get the 800cps inverter and save all the unnecessary work...

73, Meir WF2U

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-boatanchors@qth.net [mailto:owner-boatanchors@qth.net] On Behalf Of ROBERT W. DOWNS
Sent: Wednesday, August 04, 1999 11:21 PM
To: David Stinson
Cc: Ronnie Parker; Tempe Boatanchors; Boatanchors; [unknown]; [unknown]
Subject: [BoatAnchors] Re: [MilSurplus] TBW-5, TA-12D

Groups,

I concur with Dave, Meir and many others on the "surplus conversion" subject. I have an original of every Surplus Conversion manual ever written, but they were written when there were hundreds or thousands of radios for every person interested in doing anything with them. Today, the situation is reversed.

More specifically, it has been years since I've seen an unbutchered TBW, and I have never come across a GO-9, in any condition. On the other hand, I have a shelf full of 800 cps inverters, and don't see any practical difference between building or acquiring a 12/14 or 24/28VDC supply to run an inverter and doing the same thing to run a dynamotor.

Also (warning - commercial message follows) I have a GO-9 manual available to copy and plenty of TA-12 power plugs.

For those of you who are thoroughly confused by this thread, the TBW and GO-9 were WW-II vintage Navy ground and aircraft transmitters. The TBW was used with the RBM receiver set. Both consisted of two transmitters (LF and

HF) and a rectifier unit, and the physical appearance is similar but not identical. The rectifier units operated from 115 VAC 600-800 cps. The TBW was supplied with a gasoline powered AC generator. The GO-9 operated off of an inverter or engine driven AC generator. In the terminology of the book collecting market, the transmitters would be classified as scarce to rare, and the rectifiers as extremely rare. I've never seen either rectifier, and know of three or four in existence. The discussion was over whether to modify (aka butcher) one of them for 60 hz operation.

73,
Robert Downs
WA5CAB
Houston

Submissions boatanchors@qth.net

From: "David Newkirk" <dpnewkirk@home.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RE: wide bandwidth SSB vs. normal bandwidth
Date: Thu, 5 Aug 1999 08:17:06 -0400
Message-ID: <000001bedf3c\$6f0ff0a0\$1e360818@cc456763-a.vron1.nj.home.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Marty wrote:

> It won't sound any 'wider' than the SSB filters in the RXs on which
> you're heard... & all Xcvrs are "KWM-1 style" with same TX & RX filter.

Well, not necessarily. In some, if not all, modern transceivers that use double filtering -- for example, filtering at 9 MHz and 455 kHz -- the filter at only one of the IFs will be used in transmit. (You can spot this filter because it'll usually be the one SSB-width filter that isn't an option. :-D) In using such a transceiver, if an optional filter of the same bandwidth is used at the other IF and any variable-bandwidth-tuning controls are adjusted for maximum net receiving bandwidth through both filters, *the transmitting bandwidth is wider* than the receiving bandwidth* because putting two same-bandwidth filters in series results in a narrow passband than either of the two filters on its own. Only in a radio that uses only the transmitting filter in receive -- such as a KWM-2 -- will the receiving bandwidth be the same as the transmitting bandwidth, assuming no other frequency compensations or rolloffs in the RX and TX paths.

>From this, it follows that if both stations in a QSO are both using

single-filter transceivers with the same RX/TX bandwidth, *neither operator can hear the full TX bandwidth emitted by the other station because two identical filters are in series in the signal path.* The selectivity losses of both will sum, so, for example, if the TX filter knocks down a 3-kHz tone by 3 dB, the receiving filter will knock it down another 3 dB, for a total of 6 dB. Any gain (loss) variation in the resulting net system selectivity curve is doubled relative to that of either filter on its own.

Therefore, we can see that only a receiving filter that's so wide that its loss does not vary across the transmitting-filter passband will let the receiving operator hear the true fidelity of the transmitted signal. So using a 4-kHz receiving bandwidth filter should let us hear, say, a 2.4-kHz-wide transmitted signal in a way that operators receiving with 2.4-kHz-wide filters won't hear it.

But there are drawbacks to using an LC, crystal or mechanical filter of such a wide bandwidth in a system that must reject one sideband. To simultaneously achieve a reasonably flat audio response and acceptable unwanted-sideband rejection with filter bandwidths on the order of 2 to 3 kHz, we usually spot the carrier oscillator/BFO at a point 15 to 20 dB down on one side of the filter's selectivity curve. With any actual 4-kHz-wide LC, crystal or mechanical filter we're likely to use, the passband rolloff per hertz as we move down the side of the passband will be considerably less steep than that of a standard "SSB-bandwidth" filter. As a result -- I'm leaving digital signal processing (DSP) out of the discussion for now -- spotting the carrier osc/BFO at 15 to 20 dB down with a 4-kHz filter will give us relatively miserable unwanted-sideband rejection and likely-unacceptable gain variation (at toward the low end of the audio range). And that's assuming that the radio in which we use this filter would allow us to adjust its BFO/carrier osc far enough to put it at the right frequency -- which many radios, likely including the TS-820S, won't. So merely plopping a 4-kHz filter in place of a single-filter transceiver's 2.4-kHz filter isn't as straightforward as it sounds.

All of this said, it's really eye-opening to tune the spectrum with a system that *does* simultaneously afford high unwanted-sideband rejection with a very wide, flat wanted-sideband frequency response. Sideband selection by means of phasing, used on its own or in conjunction with a very wide LC, crystal or mechanical filtering, can allow this. Phasing sideband-rejection with DSP can result in a maximally flat passband width down to subaudible frequencies while giving very high unwanted sideband rejection. The Kenwood TS-870 is an example of this; I think the TS-950SDX, which (if memory serves) includes DSP enhancement of unwanted-sideband rejection, can do it, too. The TS-870's amazing sound is also due in part to its receiver's amazingly low in-band IMD, the third-order products of which, at slow AGC settings, are down something like 60 dB as opposed to the 28 or 30 dB achieved by most current transceivers.

73,

Dave Newkirk, W9VES
dpnewkirk@home.com

Message-ID: <37A98810.1FCDBE95@pcbank.net>
Date: Thu, 05 Aug 1999 08:48:16 -0400
From: "Ray A. Allen, Sr." <w2kbr@pcbank.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Estate Gear
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

BA Group:

Have acquired a large collection of estate gear, over 100 pieces, too many to list here, lest I suffer a Jack-attack. I will say the collection includes: Collins, Halli, Hammar, Heath, National, RME, and various other manufacturers..for a List of the stuff, email direct...

es 73 ray

--

Ray A. Allen, Sr., W2KBR/3, FM19qc
8303 Grainfield Rd., Severn,
Anne Arundel County, MD, 21144-2331

Message-Id: <4.2.0.58.19990805074033.00a74860@mail.sover.net>
Date: Thu, 05 Aug 1999 07:43:40 -0400
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Michael Crestohl <mc@sover.net>
Subject: FS: 12BH7 Tubes
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hi Everyone:

Found some more tubes that I have been saving with no rig to put them in.....

These are 12BH7s which if I remember are used as RF drivers in several 60s transmitters. They are used-pulls but all tested well above acceptable limits on my TV-7D.

Anyone use these and need them? I'll sell them at 3 for \$10.00 or all 7

for \$20.00 plus \$1.00 for postage.

If interested please reply by e-mail.

73,

Michael Crestohl, W1RC
mc@sover.net

Message-ID: <003f01bedf43\$c1ea1920\$be5b2299@default>
From: "Paul Bernhard Sr." <w2tu@email.msn.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: dipole info
Date: Thu, 5 Aug 1999 09:09:30 -0400

Now that I have decided to go center-fed zep my only question is on transmission line. Is the center impedance closer to 300 ohms or 450 ohms and can this modern ladder line be treated the same as coax when it enters and travels through the house (about 30')? Thanks for your help.

Old Age = "The first thing that goes is your memory and I forgot what the second thing was"

tnx es 73

Paul B. W2TU

Content-return: allowed
Date: Thu, 05 Aug 1999 10:01:54 -0400
From: "Owens, Clarence" <clare.owens@otis.com>
Subject: FS Magazines, Equipment, Power Supplies
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-id: <0FFZ00GAEWJLRQ@mailman.otis.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain

Hi All,

It's reorganize time in the Radio Room, so I have some things to sell:

1. Stoddart NM-50A Radio Interference and Field Intensity Meter. A special purpose receiver with a tuning range of 375mc to 1000mc. It is in very good condition outside, clean and very few wear marks or scrapes and it is excellent inside. Untested . No manual, cables, power supply or

accessories. \$40

2. Stoddart NM-10A Radio Interference and Field Intensity Meter. A special purpose receiver with a tuning range of 14kc to 250kc in four bands. It is in good condition outside; shows wear from knob turning and has some scrapes and the paint has discolored. Inside it is excellent. Untested. No manual, cables, power supply or accessories. \$40

3. National NC-200 receiver. This is not the Silver anniversary edition. It has some dust on the chassis but does not look like there is any rust. There are no visible mods. The outside is clean and nice with only the paint on the louvers needing attention. The AF and RF gain knobs are not original and the Phasing knob is missing (Typical NC!). The dial cover and the metal strips that hold it in place were missing when I received it but I was able to get replacement metal strips, but they need sanding and refinishing. The dial is clean, no scratches and the pointer is straight. The dial covers are available from at least two places that advertise in Antique Radio Classified. This radio was mechanically restored by a professional after it suffered some minor case damage when it was shipped to me. I have never tried it out. No speaker. Includes the manual copy I received with it. \$150

4. Yaesu FL-1000 linear. 980 watts max input to the four 6JS6's. Tunes the 80 to 10M ham bands. This unit is very clean and nice. The power switch has been replaced by one that does not have the same mounting as the original. Includes the manual I got with it, one extra set of 6JS6's and a set of 6LB6's. Untested. \$100

5. QST magazines. The following are in good condition and each issue is in a polypropylene bag, held closed by Scotch removable magic tape. I want to sell these by the year.

1960 all \$8
1961 all \$8
1964 all \$8
1965 all \$8
1966 all \$8
1968 6,7,9,10,11 \$3
1969 all \$8

6. Heath HP-13 mobile power supplies. 12-14VDC input and +750VDC @ 250ma, +300VDC @ 150ma and -130VDC @ 20ma outputs. I am selling two of these supplies and one cable assembly, with one original manual. They look like they were used but not abused. I ran these units yesterday for about 5 minutes each with no load and the output voltages looked normal on my cheapie meter that'll go to 1200V. \$40 for the set.

7. Heath HP-10 mobile power supply. 12-14V input and 120W continuous max.

Suggested loading: +600VDC @ 150ma, +300VDC @ 100ma and -125VDC @ 30ma.
This one looks like it has been used and has scraped paint but is OK
otherwise. Includes the original manual and a short shielded output cable.
I ran this one for about 5 minutes yesterday and its outputs were normal.
\$15

8. 4.5A variable transformer. Not GR, maybe Superior? 115VAC 60Hz input
and 0-120/140 output. With knob and dial scale. Clean, working. \$15

Shipping is extra on all items. I can be reached at home after 6PM EST
(CDT) weekdays (but not tomorrow night) or all day on the weekends at
812-876-8831 if you want more details and want me to be looking at the item
while we're talking. Reply by e-mail first if you are interested in an
item; the order of e-mail receipt will determine who gets what.

73,

Clare Owens N2RJB
n2rjb@arrl.net

Date: Thu, 5 Aug 1999 09:21:21 -0500 (EST)
From: "Roberta J. Barmore" <rbarmore@indy.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: dipole info
Message-ID: <Pine.SUN.4.10.9908050827020.22469-100000@indy3>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Hi!

Antenna Z is a slippery notion; the plain ol' dipole-in-free-space is
somewhere between 50 and 70R.

But a "center-fed Zepp" isn't a real well-defined term. A true Zepp is
end-fed with a kind of a transmission-line transformer made out of
balanced transmission line and once we offset the feedpoint to the center
and hook both sides of the line to the antenna, we're in dipole territory.
Actual impedance at the end of the feedline will vary with antenna length
and feedline length. (Pick 'em right, and you can get near to 50R on many
bands--that's what a G5RV is, a tweaked center-fed Zepp[1]).

The saving grace of such a setup is that loss in good balanced line is
very low; feed with a suitable matching network and you don't *care* about
the specific numbers (unless Random Fate has dealt you a very bad hand!)

The catch is, you may have some high-voltage points along the
transmission line. So you can't be quite as casual about the feedline as
you could if it was coaxial cable terminated in its characteristic

impedance. Unless you are running high power--I don't know, let's pull a number out of the air and say 250W or more--balanced feeders can be strung up fairly casually, avoiding really sharp bends if possible and keeping 'em something like 3X the line spacing away from metallic objects (and much farther away from your TV antenna download!).

For such an antenna installation, the better the line the better off you are; cheap twinlead from Ed's Drive-By TeeVee will not do nearly so well as commercial-grade windowed transmitting twinlead. (Homebrewed stuff with #12 enamelled wire and spacers of excellent dielectric properties is even better, but harder to work with).

Some folks report okay results with twinlead *buried* or run inside metal conduit; my instincts tell me the loss goes way up when you do this. If "pipe" will make the job come out better, perhaps plastic conduit (and sweep elbows rather than 90-degree ones) would be the way to go.

...You can find better discussions on the topic, including loads of mathematical analysis that can be simplified if you consider dimensions in terms of wavelengths at the freq(s) of choice, in most any edition of the ARRL HB after WW II.

Unless you are after a super-duper DX-buster wonderantenna, a doublet fed with open-wire line and a *good,* *balanced* tuner (put the balun on the *input* side, they are so much happier with non-reactive loads of the impedance for which they were designed) is remarkably non-critical and results, in most cases, in plenty of enjoyable QSOs. You might not be able to work Deepest Outlandishstan, however.

73,
--Bobbi

1. The standard commercial-made versions of Louis Varney's multiband doublet offer a nifty compromise between "center-fed doublet" and unbalanced coax. They usually come with instructions to hang 70-odd feet of 50R coax at the end of the premeasured hunk of ladder-line; the ladder-line length was picked to be at or near a 50R point for the pre-WARC 80-20m (at least) bands. (This point does not give a real good Zo for 30 meters and I don't know about the other new bands). I use one of these but feed it with ladder-line all the way, as I've only got one "modern" rig and see way too much coax at work. (And *why* do they tell the user to add so much coax? Well, the impedance at the end of the factory bit of ladder-line is not a simon-pure 50R nonreactive; a conventional balun could get unhappy. But a long hunk of RG-8 can be a sort-of linear balun, and the handy-dandy "antenna tuner" at the shack end will hide the small reactance from your rig just fine).

KB9GKX "RJ" rbarmore@indy.net Roberta J. (Bobbi) Barmore
FISTS #3388 * G-QRP #10001 * ARRL * RSGB * WIA
Appreciator Of Vacuum-Tube Ham Gear and Vintage Keys

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: boatanchors@theporch.com
Date: Wed, 4 Aug 1999 14:13:54 -0700
Subject: Re: Flagstaff / Ft. Tuthill Report?
Message-ID: <19990805.083948.-101131.0.K6KWQ@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
From: dick a george <k6kwq@juno.com>

Lots of sellers no buyers.

On Tue, 03 Aug 1999 22:09:37 -0500 David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
writes:

>Did anyone go to Flagstaff / Ft. Tuthill hamfest?
>How was it? Attendance up?
>
>73 Dave
>

K6KWQ@JUNO.COM
EX WA6JOX
12 VOLT RADIOS ARE FOR SISSEYS
REAL RADIOS CAN KILL YOU

Get the Internet just the way you want it.
Free software, free e-mail, and free Internet access for a month!
Try Juno Web: <http://dl.www.juno.com/dynoget/tagj>.

Message-Id: <199908051615.d75GF2024015@jackatak.theporch.com>
From: listown@jackatak.theporch.com (Mail List Owner)
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: ADMINISTRIVIA: Using The Archives
Date: Thu, 5 Aug 99 11:15:02 CDT

Gang-

!!!THIS INFORMATION HAS CHANGED!!!!

!!!SAVE THIS FILE FOR FUTURE REFERENCE!!!!!!

This periodic post is designed to help everyone gain more value from
their boatanchors subscription.

Often I receive an email request, or I read on the list, of someone who is aware there is an archive available with some special files with special information that is of a more permanent nature than a post to the list, but who is unaware of how to retrieve these gems.

In the archives, there are cross-reference tables for Tubes, Military Equipment Nomenclature, suggestions for restorations and modifications to our beloved fire bottle rigs, and some wonderful stories of real adventures and the people involved.

These files may be accessed by the Web... quickly and easily.
These files can also be accessed by email.

For WWW access:

- go to <http://www.theporch.com>
- select "ListProc Web Interface"
- on your first time there, click "Register For Full Account"
- follow the instructions, and BE SURE you use the email address that you have your BoatAnchors mail addressed to -- this interface will work ONLY for members of the list!
- Once registered, you can:
 - search the archives of previous posts (so far we haven't loaded all the previous posts online, but that is in the works;
 - download the index of files;
 - retrieve individual files
 - manage your subscription via the web interface

AWESOME!

For email access:

Step One:

- send an email (leave the subject blank, or, if your mailer requires a subject, type a single character, like "a" in the subject box) to:

listproc@sco.theporch.com

Step Two:

- in the body type:
index boatanchors

NOTE: The index *includes* all the previous articles now available through the web interface, so the index is HUGE and difficult to search -- the web interface is much easier.

Step Three:

- after checking out the index for files of interest, and finding the one or more you want to have sent to you, send another email to:

listproc@sco.theporch.com

```
    and, in the body, type:
    get boatanchors file.name
```

```
where you substitute the name of the file from the index
for "file.name"
```

This should get you off to a good start. If you encounter any problems, please let me know at the address below.

— —

73

Jack, W4KH/Mobile - - - Mailing List Archiver/Owner - - -
listown@jackatak.theporch.com - "Plus ca change, plus c'est la meme chose"
"Il n'y a que les idiots qui ne changent jamais d'idee"

Thu Aug 5 11:15:01 CDT 1999

Date: Thu, 5 Aug 1999 13:14:03 -0400
From: BEN NOCK <G4BXD@compuserve.com>
Subject: re GREAT BC610
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-ID: <199908051314_MC2-7FF2-845B@compuserve.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Disposition: inline

```
>>Why are these super deals always over a thousand miles away?
>>Is there some giant left wing conspiracy? I have been wanting a nice
>>BC-610 for years. =
```

[illegible]

You should moan!!! I'm 5,00 miles away so no chance. I wish I where on the same continent, a mere 1000 mile away, there'd be no stopping me from getting it.
;-)

Ben G4BXD
(thats in England)

[illegible]

Message-Id: <199908052011.NAA26985@scottie.eimac.cpii.com>
Date: Thu, 05 Aug 1999 13:04:07 -0700
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Paul Thekan <Paul.Thekan@eimac.cpii.com>
Subject: WTB: HW-16 xcvr & HG-10B vfo
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Hello all

I have a friend here at work who wants to relive his youth once again and get on the air with the Heathkit HW-16 and HG-10B vfo. The sets need not work but all the parts should be there. Please E mail me and I will give any replys to my friend.

73
Paul N6FEG

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 2628
